arXiv:1511.00802v1 [math.RA] 3 Nov 2015

A NATURAL MAP FROM A QUANTIZED SPACE ONTO ITS SEMICLASSICAL LIMIT AND A MULTI-PARAMETER POISSON WEYL ALGEBRA

SEI-QWON OH

Abstract. A natural map from a quantized space onto its semiclassical limit is obtained. As an application, we see that an induced map by the natural map is a homeomorphism from the spectrum of the multi-parameter quantized Weyl algebra onto the Poisson spectrum of its semiclassical limit.

Introduction

A quantized coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_q(V)$ of an affine variety V is informally a deformation of its classical coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(V)$. Let us replace the parameter $q \in \mathcal{O}_q(V)$ by an indeterminate t. If a central element h of $\mathcal{O}_t(V)$ is non-zero, non-unit and non-zero-divisor and the factor algebra $\mathcal{O}_t(V)/h\mathcal{O}_t(V)$ is commutative then $\mathcal{O}_t(V)/h\mathcal{O}_t(V)$ is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket defined by $\{\overline{a}, \overline{b}\} = \overline{h^{-1}(ab - ba)}$. In such case, the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}_t(V)/h\mathcal{O}_t(V)$ is called a semiclassical limit of $\mathcal{O}_t(V)$ and $\mathcal{O}_t(V)$ is called a quantization of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}_t(V)/h\mathcal{O}_t(V)$. Here we study a class $\mathcal{O}_q(V)$ of quantized algebras containing many cases such as [5], [7], [8], [11], [12], [13]. Our aim of this paper is to construct a natural map from $\mathcal{O}_q(V)$ onto its semiclassical limit. As an application, we prove that this natural map induces a homeomorphism between the spectrum of quantized Weyl algebra and the Poisson spectrum of its semiclassical limit.

The multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ was constructed by G. Maltsiniotis [9]. The spectrum $\operatorname{Spec} R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ was described by M. Akhavizadegan and David A. Jordan [1] and K. Goodearl and E. Letzter [7] proved that $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ satisfies the Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence. Here we find a semiclassical limit A_1 of $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ and prove that A_1 satisfies the Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence. Moreover we construct a natural homeomorphism from $\operatorname{Spec} R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ onto $\mathrm{P.Spec}\, A_1$ such that its restriction to the primitive spectrum $\operatorname{Prim} R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ is also a homeomorphism onto the Poisson primitive spectrum $\mathrm{P.Prim}\, A_1$ by applying the main result of this paper. This gives an affirmative answer for $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ for the conjecture posted in [5, 9.1]: *Assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let A be a generic quantized coordinate ring of an affine algebraic variety V over k. Then A should be a member of a flat family of k-algebras with semiclassical limit $\mathcal{O}(V)$, such that the primitive spectrum of A is homeomorphic to the space of symplectic cores in V, with respect to the semiclassical limit Poisson structure. Further,*

Date: August 31, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B63, 16S36.

Key words and phrases. Poisson algebra, quantized algebra, semiclassical limit, quantized Weyl algebra.

The author is supported by National Research Foundation of Korea Grant 2012-007347.

there should be compatible homeomorphisms from the primitive spectrum of A onto the Poisson primitive spectrum of $\mathcal{O}(V)$ and from the spectrum of A onto the Poisson spectrum of $\mathcal{O}(V)$.

In the first section, we see a method how to construct a natural map from a quantized space into its semi-classical limit which can induce a homeomorphism and the ideas are illustrated by the quantized coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of affine 2-space. In the second section, we construct a semiclassical limit A_1 of $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ called a multiparameter Poisson Weyl algebra. Then we find its Poisson spectrum and Poisson primitive spectrum by modifying the proofs given by M. Akhavizadegan and David A. Jordan [1] and prove that A_1 satisfies the Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence.

Assume throughout the paper that the base field is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ and that all algebras considered have unity.

Definition 0.1. (1) A commutative algebra A over $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be a *Poisson algebra* if there exists a bilinear product $\{-,-\}$ on A, called a *Poisson bracket*, such that $(A,\{-,-\})$ is a Lie algebra and $\{ab,c\} = a\{b,c\} + \{a,c\}b$ for all $a,b,c \in A$.

(2) An ideal I of a Poisson algebra A is said to be a *Poisson ideal* if $\{I,A\} \subseteq I$. A Poisson ideal P is said to be *Poisson prime* if, for all Poisson ideals I and J, $IJ \subseteq P$ implies $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$. If A is noetherian then a Poisson prime ideal of A is a prime ideal by [4, Lemma 1.1(d)].

For an ideal I of a Poisson algebra A, the largest Poisson ideal $\mathcal{P}(I)$ contained in I is called the *Poisson core* of I. If I is prime then $\mathcal{P}(I)$ is prime by [3, 3.3.2]. A Poisson ideal P of A is said to be *Poisson primitive* if $P = \mathcal{P}(M)$ for some maximal ideal M of A. Note that Poisson primitive is Poisson prime.

Definition 0.2. (1) Let R be an algebra. The spectrum of R, denoted by $\operatorname{Spec} R$, is the set of all prime ideals of R equipped with the Zariski topology. The primitive spectrum, denoted by $\operatorname{Prim} R$, is the subspace of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ consisting of all primitive ideals of R.

(2) Let A be a Poisson algebra. The Poisson spectrum of A, denoted by $\operatorname{P.Spec} A$, is the set of all Poisson prime ideals of A equipped with the Zariski topology. The Poisson primitive spectrum of A, denoted by $\operatorname{P.Prim} A$, is the subspace of $\operatorname{P.Spec} A$ consisting of all Poisson primitive ideals of A. If A is noetherian then $\operatorname{P.Spec} A$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ since Poisson prime is prime.

1. Natural map

Notation 1.1. Let t be an indeterminate.

(1) Assume that $\mathbf{K}$ is an infinite subset of the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$.

(2) Assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is a subring of the ring of regular functions on $\mathbf{K} \cup \{1\}$ containing $\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. That is,

$$\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] \subseteq \mathbb{F} \subseteq \{f/g \in \mathbb{C}(t) | f,g \in \mathbb{C}[t] \text{ such that } g(1) \neq 0, g(\lambda) \neq 0 \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{K}\}. \tag{1.1}$$

(3) Let $\mathbb{F}\langle x_1,\dots,x_n\rangle$ be the free $\mathbb{F}$-algebra on the set $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$. A finite product $\mathbf{x}$ of x_i's (repetitions allowed) is called a monomial. For each $i = 1,\dots,r$, let f_i be an $\mathbb{F}$-linear combination of monomials

$$f_i = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} a^i_{\mathbf{x}}(t)\mathbf{x}, \quad a^i_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Set

$$A = \mathbb{F}\langle x_1,\dots,x_n\rangle / I,$$

where I is the ideal of $\mathbb{F}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$ generated by $f_1, \dots, f_r$. That is, A is the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ subject to the relations

$$f_1, \dots, f_r.$$

Note that A is also a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra since $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$.

(4) Assume that $t-1$ is a nonzero, nonunit and non-zero-divisor of A such that the factor $A_1 = A/(t-1)A$ is commutative. Denote by γ_1 the canonical homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\gamma_1 : A \longrightarrow A_1.$$

Note, by [2, III.5.4], that the commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A_1 is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket

$$\{\gamma_1(a), \gamma_1(b)\} = \gamma_1((t-1)^{-1}(ab - ba))$$

for all $a, b \in A$. The Poisson algebra A_1 is said to be a *semiclassical limit* of A.

(5) For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, let A_λ be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ subject to the relations

$$f_1|_{t=\lambda}, \dots, f_r|_{t=\lambda},$$

where $f_i|_{t=\lambda} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} a^i_{\mathbf{x}}(\lambda)\mathbf{x}$. Note that $a^i_{\mathbf{x}}(\lambda)$ is a well-defined element of $\mathbb{C}$ by (1.1) and that there exists a canonical homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\gamma_\lambda : A \longrightarrow A_\lambda$$

such that $\gamma_\lambda(t) = \lambda, \gamma_\lambda(x_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(6) Set

$$\widehat{A} = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}} A_\lambda.$$

Let

$$\pi_\lambda : \widehat{A} \longrightarrow A_\lambda$$

be the canonical projection onto A_λ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ and let γ be the homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\gamma : A \longrightarrow \widehat{A}, \quad \gamma(a) = (\gamma_\lambda(a))_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Thus $\pi_\lambda \gamma = \gamma_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$.

Note that $\gamma(t-1)$ is an invertible element of $\widehat{A}$ since $1 \notin \mathbf{K}$.

(7) Assume that there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{\xi_i | i \in I\}$ of A such that

$$\{\gamma_1(\xi_i) | i \in I\}, \quad \{\gamma_\lambda(\xi_i) | i \in I\}$$

are $\mathbb{C}$-bases of A_1 and A_λ, respectively, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$.

Hence every element $a \in A$ is expressed uniquely by

$$a = \sum_i a_i(t)\xi_i, \quad a_i(t) \in \mathbb{F}$$

and, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$,

$$\gamma_\lambda(a) = \textstyle\sum_i a_i(\lambda)\gamma_\lambda(\xi_i), \quad \gamma_1(a) = \sum_i a_i(1)\gamma_1(\xi_i).$$

Note that $a_i(\lambda)$ and $a_i(1)$ are well-defined elements of $\mathbb{C}$ by (1.1).

Lemma 1.2. *The map γ in (1.2) is a monomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras.*

Proof. For $a = \sum_i a_i(t)\xi_i \in A$ ($a_i(t) \in \mathbb{F}$), let $\gamma(a) = 0$. Then

$$\sum_i a_i(\lambda)\gamma_\lambda(\xi_i) = \gamma_\lambda(a) = \pi_\lambda\gamma(a) = 0$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$. Hence, for each $i \in I$, $a_i(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ since $\{\gamma_\lambda(\xi_i)|i \in I\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of A_λ. Thus $a_i(t) = 0$ since $\mathbf{K}$ is an infinite set and $a_i(t) \in \mathbb{F}$ has finite zeros. It follows that γ is a monomorphism. □

Notation 1.3. The map

$$\Gamma = \gamma_1\gamma^{-1} : \gamma(A) \longrightarrow A_1$$

is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras by Lemma 1.2. Note that, for $a = \sum_i a_i(t)\xi_i \in A$,

$$\Gamma(\gamma(a))) = \sum_i a_i(1)\gamma_1(\xi_i). \tag{1.3}$$

In particular, $\Gamma(\gamma(\xi_i)) = \gamma_1(\xi_i)$ for all $i \in I$ and thus Γ is an epimorphism.

Theorem 1.4. *Let I be an ideal of $\widehat{A}$. Then $\Gamma(I \cap \gamma(A))$ is a Poisson ideal of A_1.*

Proof. Since Γ is surjective, $\Gamma(I \cap \gamma(A))$ is an ideal of A_1. It is enough to show that

$$\{x', y'\} \in \Gamma(I \cap \gamma(A))$$

for any $x' \in \Gamma(I \cap \gamma(A))$ and $y' \in A_1$. There exist $a \in I \cap \gamma(A)$ and $b \in \gamma(A)$ such that $\gamma_1\gamma^{-1}(a) = x'$ and $\gamma_1\gamma^{-1}(b) = y'$. Set $\gamma^{-1}(a) = x$, $\gamma^{-1}(b) = y$. Thus $x' = \gamma_1(x)$ and $y' = \gamma_1(y)$. Since $xy - yx = (t-1)z$ for some $z \in A$ by Notation 1.1(4) and

$$(t-1)z = xy - yx = \gamma^{-1}(a)\gamma^{-1}(b) - \gamma^{-1}(b)\gamma^{-1}(a) = \gamma^{-1}(ab - ba),$$

we have that

$$\gamma(t-1)\gamma(z) = \gamma((t-1)z) = ab - ba$$

and thus

$$\gamma(z) = [\gamma(t-1)]^{-1}(ab - ba) \in I \cap \gamma(A)$$

since $\gamma(t-1)$ is invertible in $\widehat{A}$. Hence

$$\{x', y'\} = \{\gamma_1(x), \gamma_1(y)\} = \gamma_1(z) \in \Gamma(I \cap \gamma(A)),$$

as claimed. □

Corollary 1.5. *Let X be a subspace of the ideals of $\widehat{A}$ equipped with Zariski topology and let Y be a topological subspace of* $\mathrm{P.Spec}\, A_1$. *Suppose that the map $\varphi_\Gamma : X \longrightarrow Y$ defined by*

$$\varphi_\Gamma(P) = \Gamma(P \cap \gamma(A)) \tag{1.4}$$

is a bijection satisfying the following condition: For any $P, Q \in X$, there exist subsets $I_P, I_Q \subseteq \gamma(A)$ such that I_P, I_Q, $\Gamma(I_P)$ and $\Gamma(I_Q)$ generate P, Q, $\varphi_\Gamma(P)$ and $\varphi_\Gamma(Q)$, respectively, and that $I_P \subseteq I_Q$ if and only if $\Gamma(I_P) \subseteq \Gamma(I_Q)$. Then φ_Γ is a homeomorphism from X onto Y.

Proof. Note that $\varphi_\Gamma(P)$ is a Poisson ideal for any $P \in X$ by Theorem 1.4. Clearly φ_Γ is a bijection such that φ_Γ and φ_Γ^{-1} preserve inclusions. Hence φ_Γ is a homeomorphism from X onto Y by [5, Lemma 9.4(a)]. □

In the following example, we see a method how to construct a homeomorphism from $\mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ onto the Poisson spectrum of its semiclassical limit.

Example 1.6. Set $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{C} \setminus (\{0,1\} \cup \{\text{roots of unity}\})$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Let A be the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra generated by x, y subject to the relation

$$xy = tyx.$$

Then, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, A_λ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by x, y subject to the relation

$$xy = \lambda yx$$

and thus the set $\{x^i y^j | i, j = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ of all standard monomials forms an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of A and a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of A_λ.

Observe that $A_1 = A/(t-1)A$ is the Poisson $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with Poisson bracket

$$\{x, y\} = xy.$$

Note that the triple $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{F}, A)$ satisfies the conditions of Notation 1.1. We retain the notations in Notation 1.1.

Let $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{C}$ be not a root of unity and let $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ be the coordinate ring of quantized affine 2-space. That is, $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by x, y subject to the relation

$$xy = qyx.$$

Note that $\{x^i y^j | i, j = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, λ is not a root of unity and thus there exists the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^2)$ that is defined by substituting λ to q in $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$. (Hence q is a nonzero complex number that is not a root of unity as well as plays a role as a parameter taking values in $\mathbf{K}$.) Note that $A_\lambda = \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^2)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$.

It is well-known, see [6, 2.1(ii)], that

$$\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{\langle 0\rangle, \langle x\rangle, \langle y\rangle, \langle x-\mu, y\rangle, \langle x, y-\nu\rangle |\ \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Hence, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$,

$$\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{\langle 0\rangle, \langle x\rangle, \langle y\rangle, \langle x-\mu, y\rangle, \langle x, y-\nu\rangle |\ \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Define a map

$$\widehat{\ } : \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \longrightarrow \widehat{A} = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}} A_\lambda, \quad \widehat{f(q)} = (f(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \mathbf{K}}. \tag{1.6}$$

(Here q is considered as a parameter taking values in $\mathbf{K}$.) Hence, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$,

$$\pi_\lambda(\widehat{0}) = 0,\ \pi_\lambda(\widehat{x}) = x,\ \pi_\lambda(\widehat{y}) = y,\ \pi_\lambda(\widehat{x-\mu}) = x-\mu,\ \pi_\lambda(\widehat{y-\nu}) = y-\nu.$$

Note that

$$\gamma(0) = \widehat{0}, \quad \gamma(x) = \widehat{x}, \quad \gamma(y) = \widehat{y}, \quad \gamma(x-\mu) = \widehat{x-\mu}, \quad \gamma(y-\nu) = \widehat{y-\nu}.$$

Let X be the set consisting of the following ideals of $\widehat{A}$

$$X = \{\langle\widehat{0}\rangle, \langle\widehat{x}\rangle, \langle\widehat{y}\rangle, \langle\widehat{x-\mu}, \widehat{y}\rangle, \langle\widehat{x}, \widehat{y-\nu}\rangle |\ \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

The map (1.6) is an injective map preserving inclusions since $q \in \mathbf{K}$ and thus (1.6) induces a canonical homeomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ from $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ onto X. Note that all ideals in X are generated by elements of $\gamma(A)$, that

$$\varphi_\Gamma(X) = \{\langle 0\rangle, \langle x\rangle, \langle y\rangle, \langle x-\mu, y\rangle, \langle x, y-\nu\rangle |\ \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is equal to $\mathrm{P.Spec}\, A_1$ by [5, 9.5] and that φ_Γ is a homeomorphism from X onto $\varphi_\Gamma(X)$ by Corollary 1.5. Hence the composition $\varphi_\Gamma \circ \widehat{\varphi}$ is a homeomorphism from $\mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ onto $\mathrm{P.Spec}\, A_1$.

2. Multi-parameter Poisson Weyl algebras

Definition 2.1. (See [9].) Let $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ be an n-tuple of elements of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$ be a multiplicative antisymmetric $n \times n$-matrix over $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, namely $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}^{-1}$ for $i \neq j$ and $\lambda_{ii} = 1$. The multi-parameter quantized Weyl algebra $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $y_1, x_1, \dots, y_n, x_n$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
y_j y_i &= \lambda_{ji} y_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
y_j x_i &= \lambda_{ij} x_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_j y_i &= q_i \lambda_{ij} y_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_j x_i &= q_i^{-1} \lambda_{ij}^{-1} x_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - 1) y_k x_k && 1 \le i \le n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Notation 2.2. (1) Denote by $G(Q, \Lambda)$ the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ generated by all q_i and λ_{ij}, $1 \le i, j \le n$.

(2) *Assume throughout the section that $G(Q, \Lambda)$ is torsion free.* Hence $G(Q, \Lambda)$ is a free abelian group with finite rank, say r. Fix a basis $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ of $G(Q, \Lambda)$, a $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent subset $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r\}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ and a non-root of unity $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

(3) For each $i = 1, \dots, r$, there exists a unique element $e_i = a_i t^2 + b_i t + c_i \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that

$$e_i(q) = \eta_i,\ e_i(1) = 1,\ e_i'(1) = \mu_i, \tag{2.2}$$

where e_i' is the formal derivative of e_i, since the determinant of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} q^2 & q & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is nonzero.

(3) Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the ring

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}][e_1^{-1}, \dots, e_r^{-1}].$$

and let $U(\mathbb{F})$ be the unit group of $\mathbb{F}$. For any $c \in G(Q, \Lambda)$, there exists a unique element $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $c = \eta_1^{s_1} \eta_2^{s_2} \cdots \eta_r^{s_r}$. Define a homomorphism of groups

$$\widetilde{\ } : G(Q, \Lambda) \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{F}),\quad \widetilde{c} = e_1^{s_1} e_2^{s_2} \cdots e_r^{s_r}. \tag{2.3}$$

If $\widetilde{c} = 1$ then $c = \widetilde{c}(q) = 1$ and thus $\widetilde{\ }$ is a monomorphism of groups such that $\widetilde{\eta_i} = e_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Definition 2.3. Set

$$\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{q_1}, \dots, \widetilde{q_n}), \quad \widetilde{\Lambda} = (\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}).$$

Note that $\widetilde{q}_i \neq 1$ and that $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a multiplicative antisymmetric $n \times n$-matrix. Define $A_n^{\widetilde{Q},\widetilde{\Lambda}}$ to be the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra generated by $y_1, x_1, \ldots, y_n, x_n$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
y_j y_i &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{ji} y_i y_j && 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\
y_j x_i &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij} x_i y_j && 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\
x_j y_i &= \widetilde{q}_i \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij} y_i x_j && 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\
x_j x_i &= \widetilde{q}_i^{-1} \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}^{-1} x_i x_j && 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\
x_i y_i - \widetilde{q}_i y_i x_i &= (\widetilde{q}_i - 1)\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} y_k x_k\right) && 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Write A for $A_n^{\widetilde{Q},\widetilde{\Lambda}}$ for simplicity.

Lemma 2.4. *The algebra A is the iterated skew polynomial algebra*

$$A = \mathbb{F}[y_1][x_1; \beta^1, \delta^1][y_2; \alpha^2][x_2; \beta^2, \delta^2] \ldots [y_n; \alpha^n][x_n; \beta^n, \delta^n],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha^j(y_i) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{ji} y_i && i < j, && \alpha^j(x_i) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij} x_i && i < j \\
&\beta^j(y_i) = \widetilde{q}_i \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij} y_i && i \leq j, && \beta^j(x_i) = \widetilde{q}_i^{-1} \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}^{-1} x_i && i < j \\
&\delta^j(y_i) = 0 && i < j, && \delta^j(x_i) = 0 && i < j \\
&\delta^j(y_j) = (\widetilde{q}_j - 1)\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} y_k x_k\right) && 1 \leq j \leq n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Hence $\{y_1^{r_1} x_1^{s_1} \cdots y_n^{r_n} x_n^{s_n} | r_i, s_i = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ forms an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of A.

Proof. It is verified by (2.4). □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathbf{K}$ be the set consisting of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that $e_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and the multiplicative subgroup $\langle e_1(\lambda), \ldots, e_r(\lambda) \rangle$ is a free abelian group with basis $e_1(\lambda), \ldots, e_r(\lambda)$. Then $\mathbf{K}$ is an infinite subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $q \in \mathbf{K}$.*

Proof. Let $f_1(t), \ldots, f_r(t)$ be nonconstant and continuous functions on a non-empty open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that $f_i(t)/f_j(t)$ is nonconstant for each pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$. We show that there are uncountably many $0, 1 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{f_i(\lambda)\}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent. We proceed by using induction on r. If $r = 1$ then it is true clearly since f_1 is a nonconstant and continuous function. Suppose that $r > 1$ and that the claim is true for $r - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there are uncountably many $0, 1 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i (f_i/f_r)(\lambda) \neq 0$ for any $0 \neq (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{r-1}$. Hence, for any $a_r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $0 \neq (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i (f_i/f_r)(\lambda) + a_r \neq 0$ for uncountably many $0, 1 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ since the cardinality of $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i f_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ for any $0 \neq (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r$ and thus the claim is verified.

Let ℓ be a half line starting from the origin such that $e_i(q) \notin \ell$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Set $f_i(t) = \log e_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, where the function log is defined in the branch determined by ℓ. Then all $f_i(t)$ are nonconstant and continuous on a nonempty open set $q \in U \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such

that each $e_i(U)$ is contained in the given branch since $e_i(t)$ is a nonconstant polynomial by (2.2). For each pair (i,j) with $i \neq j$, suppose that $f_i(t)/f_j(t) = c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\eta_i = e_i(q) = e_j^c(q) = \eta_j^c$, which is impossible since $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^r$ is a basis of $G(Q,\Lambda)$. If $f_i(t)/f_j(t) = c \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ then $e_i = e_j^c$, a contradiction since all e_i are polynomials. Hence, for each pair (i,j) with $i \neq j$, $f_i(t)/f_j(t)$ is not constant. Therefore there are uncountably many $0, 1 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{f_i(\lambda)\}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent by the claim. This completes the proof. □

We apply Notation 1.1 to the triple $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{F}, A)$. Note that $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{F}, A)$ satisfies Notation 1.1(1)-(3).

Notation 2.6. Note that $t-1$ is a nonzero, nonunit and non-zero-divisor of A. Set

$$A_1 = A/(t-1)A.$$

It is proved by the proof of Theorem 2.9(3) that A_1 is a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Thus $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{F}, A)$ satisfies the condition of Notation 1.1(4).

For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A_λ is defined by Notation 1.1(5).

Proposition 2.7. *(1) For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, A_λ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $y_1, x_1, \ldots, y_n, x_n$ subject to the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
y_j y_i &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{ji}(\lambda) y_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
y_j x_i &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}(\lambda) x_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_j y_i &= \widetilde{q}_i(\lambda)\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}(\lambda) y_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_j x_i &= \widetilde{q}_i^{-1}(\lambda)\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}^{-1}(\lambda) x_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_i y_i - \widetilde{q}_i(\lambda) y_i x_i &= (\widetilde{q}_i(\lambda) - 1)\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} y_k x_k\right) && 1 \le i \le n
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and an iterated skew polynomial algebra

$$A_\lambda = \mathbb{C}[y_1][x_1; \beta_\lambda^1, \delta_\lambda^1][y_2; \alpha_\lambda^2][x_2; \beta_\lambda^2, \delta_\lambda^2] \cdots [y_n; \alpha_\lambda^n][x_n; \beta_\lambda^n, \delta_\lambda^n]$$

for suitable $\alpha_\lambda^i, \beta_\lambda^i, \delta_\lambda^i$. Hence $\{y_1^{r_1} x_1^{s_1} \cdots y_n^{r_n} x_n^{s_n} | r_i, s_i = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ forms a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of A_λ.

(2) In particular, A_q is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $y_1, x_1, \ldots, y_n, x_n$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
y_j y_i &= \lambda_{ji} y_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
y_j x_i &= \lambda_{ij} x_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_j y_i &= q_i \lambda_{ij} y_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_j x_i &= q_i^{-1}\lambda_{ij}^{-1} x_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i &= (q_i - 1)\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} y_k x_k\right) && 1 \le i \le n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. (1) It follows from (2.4) and (2.5).

(2) Since $q \in \mathbf{K}$ and $e_i(q) = \eta_i$ for all i,

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}(q) = \lambda_{ij}, \quad \widetilde{q}_i(q) = q_i$$

for all i, j. Hence the result follows from (2.6) by replacing λ by q. □

Lemma 2.8. *The multi-parameter quantized Weyl algebra $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ is isomorphic to A_q.*

Proof. Define a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\psi : R_n^{Q,\Lambda} \longrightarrow A_q \quad \psi(x_i) = x_i,\ \psi(y_i) = (q_i - 1)^{-1} y_i \tag{2.8}$$

for all i. Then ψ is an isomorphism by (2.1) and (2.7). □

Theorem 2.9. *Let $G(Q, \Lambda)$ be torsion free.*

(1) $\widetilde{Q}'(1) := (\widetilde{q}_1'(1), \ldots, \widetilde{q}_n'(1))$ is an n-tuple of elements of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(2) $\widetilde{\Lambda}'(1) := \left(\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}'(1)\right)$ is a skew-symmetric $n \times n$-matrix over $\mathbb{C}$.

(3) The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A_1 is the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[y_1, x_1, \ldots, y_n, x_n]$ with Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
\{y_j, y_i\} &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{ji}'(1) y_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
\{y_j, x_i\} &= \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}'(1) x_i y_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
\{x_j, y_i\} &= \left(\widetilde{q}_i'(1) + \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}'(1)\right) y_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
\{x_j, x_i\} &= -\left(\widetilde{q}_i'(1) + \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}'(1)\right) x_i x_j && 1 \le i < j \le n \\
\{x_i, y_i\} &= \widetilde{q}_i'(1) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i} y_k x_k\right) && 1 \le i \le n,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\widetilde{q}_i'$, $\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}'$ are the formal derivatives of $\widetilde{q}_i$, $\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}$ respectively. We will call A_1 the multi-parameter Poisson Weyl algebra.

Remark 2.10. Note that $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{F}, A)$ satisfies the condition of Notation 1.1(7) by Lemma 2.4, Proposition 2.7(1) and Theorem 2.9(3). We retain the notations in Notation 1.1 for $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{F}, A)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. (1) Let $q_i = \eta_1^{s_{1i}} \eta_2^{s_{2i}} \cdots \eta_r^{s_{ri}}$. Since $q_i \neq 1$, $s_{ki} \neq 0$ for some k. Thus, by (2.2),

$$\widetilde{q}_i'(1) = s_{1i}\mu_1 + \ldots + s_{ri}\mu_r \neq 0.$$

(2) For all $1 \le i, j \le n$, since $\lambda_{ij}\lambda_{ji} = 1$, $\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}\widetilde{\lambda}_{ji} = 1$ by (2.3) and thus $\widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}'(1) + \widetilde{\lambda}_{ji}'(1) = 0$ by (2.2).

(3) Since $e_i(1) = 1$ by (2.2), $\widetilde{q}_i(1) = 1, \widetilde{\lambda}_{ij}(1) = 1$ for all i, j and thus A_1 is commutative by (2.4). Let us find the Poisson bracket in A_1. For $i < j$,

$$\{y_j, y_i\} = (t-1)^{-1}(y_j y_i - y_i y_j)|_{t=1} = \left(\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{ji} - 1}{t-1}\right)(1) y_i y_j = \widetilde{\lambda}_{ji}'(1) y_i y_j$$

and thus the first formula of (2.9) is obtained. The others of (2.9) are obtained similarly. □

Notation 2.11. In A_q and A_1, set

$$z_0 = 1, \quad z_i = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i} y_k x_k$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 2.12. *(1) In A_q,*

$$x_iy_i - q_iy_ix_i = (q_i-1)z_{i-1}, \quad x_iy_i - y_ix_i = (q_i-1)z_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n$$

and

$$\begin{array}{llll} y_jz_i = z_iy_j & i<j; & x_jz_i = z_ix_j & i<j; \\ y_jz_i = q_j^{-1}z_iy_j & i \ge j; & x_jz_i = q_jz_ix_j & i \ge j; \\ z_iz_j = z_jz_i & \text{all } i,j. & & \end{array}$$

(2) In A_1,

$$\{x_i,y_i\} - \widetilde{q}_i'(1)y_ix_i = \widetilde{q}_i'(1)z_{i-1}, \quad \{x_i,y_i\} = \widetilde{q}_i'(1)z_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n$$

and

$$\begin{array}{llll} \{y_j,z_i\} = 0 & i<j; & \{x_j,z_i\} = 0 & i<j; \\ \{y_j,z_i\} = -\widetilde{q}_j'(1)y_jz_i & i \ge j; & \{x_j,z_i\} = \widetilde{q}_j'(1)x_jz_i & i \ge j; \\ \{z_i,z_j\} = 0 & \text{all } i,j. & & \end{array}$$

Proof. These are checked routinely by (2.7) and (2.9). □

Definition 2.13. A subset T of $\mathcal{M}_n = \{z_1,z_2,y_2,x_2,z_3,y_3,x_3,\dots,z_n,y_n,x_n\}$ is said to be *admissible* if T satisfies the condition: For $2 \le i \le n$,

$$y_i \in T \text{ or } x_i \in T \Leftrightarrow z_i \in T \text{ and } z_{i-1} \in T.$$

Note that if T is an admissible set of A_q then the ideal $\langle T\rangle$ generated by T is equal to the right (left) ideal generated by T since each z_i is normal and y_i and x_i are normal modulo $\langle z_{i-1}\rangle$ by Lemma 2.12(1).

Lemma 2.14. *(1) Let P be a prime ideal of A_q. Then $P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ is an admissible set.*
(2) Let P be a Poisson prime ideal of A_1. Then $P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ is an admissible set.

Proof. (1) If $y_i \in P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ or $x_i \in P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ then $z_i, z_{i-1} \in P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ by Lemma 2.12(1). Conversely, if $z_i, z_{i-1} \in P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ then $y_ix_i = z_i - z_{i-1} \in P$. Since y_i and x_i are normal modulo $\langle z_{i-1}\rangle$, $y_i \in P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ or $x_i \in P \cap \mathcal{M}_n$.
(2) Similar to (1). □

Corollary 2.15. *For each admissible set T, set*

$$\operatorname{Spec}_T A_q = \{P \in \operatorname{Spec} A_q \mid P \cap \mathcal{M}_n = T\},$$
$$\mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Spec}_T A_1 = \{P \in \mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Spec} A_1 \mid P \cap \mathcal{M}_n = T\}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Spec} A_q = \biguplus_T \operatorname{Spec}_T A_q, \quad \mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Spec} A_1 = \biguplus_T \mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Spec}_T A_1.$$

Proof. It is clear by Lemma 2.14 □

Remark 2.16. Recall the following concepts in [1, 4.2]. In $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$, set $z_i = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i}(q_k-1)y_kx_k$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. For a subset U of $\{z_1,y_1,x_1,z_2,y_2,x_2,\dots,z_n,y_n,x_n\} \subseteq R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ and an integer i with $1 \le i \le n$, we say that i features in U if at least one of z_i, y_i, x_i is in U. By p-sequence, we mean a subset U of $\{z_1,y_1,x_1,\dots,z_n,y_n,x_n\}$ with the following properties:
(i) if $z_i \in U$ then $i-1$ does not feature in U;
(ii) if $y_i \in U$ or $x_i \in U$ then $i-1$ does feature in U.

Let U be a p-sequence such that $y_1, x_1 \notin U$ and set $U' = U \cup \{z_i | i \text{ features in } U\}$. Then the homomorphic image $\psi(U')$, where ψ is the isomorphism (2.8), consists of elements obtained by multiplying nonzero scalars to all elements in an admissible set T. Thus the ideal generated by $\psi(U)$ is equal to the ideal $\langle T\rangle$. Conversely, for any admissible set T of A_q, $\langle T\rangle$ is equal to an ideal generated by $\psi(U)$ for some p-sequence U such that $y_1, x_1 \notin U$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $G(Q,\Lambda)$ be torsion free and let T be an admissible set.*
(1) The ideal $\langle T\rangle$ of A_q is a completely prime ideal.
(2) The ideal $\langle T\rangle$ of A_1 is a Poisson prime ideal.

Proof. (1) It follows immediately by Remark 2.16 and [1, 4.5].

(2) We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 1$ then the admissible sets of $\mathcal{M}_1$ are only $\varnothing$ and $\{z_1\}$. If $T = \varnothing$ then $\langle T\rangle = 0$ and thus the result is clear. Let $T = \{z_1\}$. Since z_1 is a Poisson normal element, $\langle T\rangle$ is Poisson prime.

Suppose that $n > 1$ and that the result is true for the cases less than n. Set $U = T \cap \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$. Then U is an admissible set of $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$. There are following five cases:

$$T = U, T = U \cup \{z_n\}, T = U \cup \{z_n, y_n\}, T = U \cup \{z_n, x_n\}, T = U \cup \{z_n, y_n, x_n\}.$$

If $T = U$ then the result is clear by the induction hypothesis. For the other cases, $\langle T\rangle$ is Poisson prime since z_n is Poisson normal and y_n and x_n are Poisson normal modulo $\langle z_n\rangle$. □

Notation 2.18. Let T be an admissible set of $\mathcal{M}_n$. Define a subset Y_T of $\mathcal{M}_n \cup \{y_1\}$ by

$$Y_T \cap \{z_i, y_i, x_i\} = \begin{cases} \{z_i, y_i\} & \text{if } z_i \notin T, \\ \{y_i\} & \text{if } z_i \in T, y_i \notin T, \\ \{x_i\} & \text{if } z_i \in T, y_i \in T, x_i \notin T, \\ \varnothing & \text{if } z_i \in T, y_i \in T, x_i \in T \end{cases}$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that there is no i such that $y_i \in Y_T$ and $x_i \in Y_T$.

Recall the coordinate ring of quantum torus: Let $C = (c_{ij})$ be a multiplicative antisymmetric $n \times n$-matrix over $\mathbb{C}$. The coordinate ring of quantum torus, denoted by $\mathcal{T}_C$, is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}$ subject to the relations

$$z_i z_j = c_{ij} z_j z_i$$

for all $1 \le i, j \le n$.

The proof of the following lemma is modified from that of [1, 4.9] by using Poisson terminologies.

Lemma 2.19. *Let T be an admissible set of $\mathcal{M}_n$ and set $Y_T = \{w_1, \ldots, w_s\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{Y}_T$ the multiplicative closed set of $A_q/\langle T\rangle$ and $A_1/\langle T\rangle$ generated by the canonical image of Y_T.*

(1) Let $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \ldots, w_s]$ be the subalgebra of A_q generated by $w_1, \ldots, w_s$. Then, for all $1 \le i, j \le s$,

$$w_i w_j = c_{ij} w_j w_i$$

for some $c_{ij} \in G(Q,\Lambda)$ and $(A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to the localization $\mathbb{C}[w_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, w_s^{\pm 1}]$ of $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \ldots, w_s]$ at the multiplicative closed set generated by $w_1, \ldots, w_s$, which is the coordinate ring of quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_{C_T}$, where $C_T = (c_{ij})$ a multiplicative anti-symmetric $s \times s$-matrix.

(2) Let $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \dots, w_s]$ be the Poisson subalgebra of A_1 generated by $w_1, \dots, w_s$. Then, for all $1 \le i, j \le s$,

$$\{w_i, w_j\} = \widetilde{c}'_{ij}(1) w_i w_j,$$

where c_{ij} is the element of $G(Q, \Lambda)$ in (1), and $(A_1/\langle T \rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$ is Poisson isomorphic to the localization $\mathbb{C}[w_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, w_s^{\pm 1}]$ of $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \dots, w_s]$ at the multiplicative closed set generated by $w_1, \dots, w_s$.

Proof. (1) There is no i such that $y_i \in Y_T$ and $x_i \in Y_T$. Hence, for all $1 \le i, j \le s$, $w_i w_j = c_{ij} w_j w_i$ for a suitable element $c_{ij} \in G(Q, \Lambda)$ by (2.7) and Lemma 2.12(1). The remaining result is proved by [1, 4.8 and the proof of 4.9] since Y_T consists of the elements obtained by multiplying nonzero scalars to the elements of $\psi(D(U))$ for some p-sequence U by Remark 2.16, where ψ is the isomorphism (2.8) and $D(U)$ is the subset of $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ in [1, 4.8].

(2) Note that the canonical map from the subalgebra of A_1 generated by Y_T to $A_1/\langle T \rangle$ is injective and that, for i with $z_i \notin T$, y_i and z_i are in Y_T and $\overline{x}_i = \overline{y}_i^{-1} \overline{(z_i - z_{i-1})}$ in $(A_1/\langle T \rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$. Hence $(A_1/\langle T \rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$ is the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[w_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, w_s^{\pm 1}]$.

It remains to prove the Poisson bracket $\{w_i, w_j\} = \widetilde{c}'_{ij}(1) w_i w_j$ for all $1 \le i, j \le s$. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 1$ then $Y_T = \{z_1, y_1\}$ or $Y_T = \{y_1\}$. If $Y_T = \{z_1, y_1\}$ then $z_1 y_1 = q_1 y_1 z_1$ in A_q and $\{z_1, y_1\} = \widetilde{q}'_1(1) z_1 y_1$ in A_1 by Lemma 2.12. If $Y_T = \{y_1\}$ then $y_1 y_1 = y_1 y_1$ in A_q and $\{y_1, y_1\} = 0$ in A_1. Hence our claim is true for $n = 1$.

Suppose that $n > 1$ and that our claim is true for the cases less than n. Let $W = T \cap \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$. Then W is an admissible set of $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$. Set $Y_W = \{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$. Let Δ be the multiplicative anti-symmetric $p \times p$-matrix (c_{ij}) which is determined by the commutation relations $w_i w_j = c_{ij} w_j w_i$ for all $1 \le i, j \le p$ and let Δ' be the skew symmetric $p \times p$-matrix (d_{ij}) which is determined by the Poisson brackets $\{w_i, w_j\} = d_{ij} w_i w_j$ for all $1 \le i, j \le p$. By induction hypothesis, $d_{ij} = \widetilde{c}'_{ij}(1)$ for all $1 \le i, j \le p$. There are the following five cases:

$$T = W, T = W \cup \{z_n\}, T = W \cup \{z_n, y_n\}, T = W \cup \{z_n, x_n\}, T = W \cup \{z_n, y_n, x_n\}.$$

If $T = W$ then $Y_T = Y_W \cup \{z_n, y_n\} = \{w_1, \dots, w_p, z_n, y_n\}$. In A_q, the commutation relations of each pair of elements in Y_T are determined by the multiplicative anti-symmetric matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta & U \\ U^* & V \end{pmatrix},$$

where U is the $p \times 2$-matrix determined by the commutation relations between $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ and $\{z_n, y_n\}$, each (k, ℓ)-entry of U^* is the inverse of the (ℓ, k)-entry of U and V is the multiplicative anti-symmetric matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q_n \\ q_n^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ determined by the commutation relations of $\{z_n, y_n\}$. In A_1, the Poisson brackets of each pair of elements in Y_T are determined by the skew symmetric matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta' & U' \\ -(U')^t & V' \end{pmatrix},$$

where U' is the $p \times 2$-matrix determined by the Poisson brackets between $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ and $\{z_n, y_n\}$, $-(U')^t$ is the transpose of U' and V' is the skew symmetric matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \widetilde{q}'_n(1) \\ -\widetilde{q}'_n(1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ determined by $\{z_n, y_n\}$. Observe that each entry of V' is obtained from the corresponding entry of V by derivation at $t = 1$. Let us check that each (i, j)-entry of U' is obtained from the (i, j)-entry of U by derivation at $t = 1$. Let U_i and U'_i be the ith-rows of U and U', respectively,

for $1 \leq i \leq p$. If $w_1 = z_1$ then $U_1 = (1,1)$ and $U_1' = (0,0)$. If $w_1 = y_1$ then $U_1 = (q_1^{-1}, \lambda_{1n})$ and $U_1' = (-\widetilde{q_1}'(1), \widetilde{\lambda}_{1n}'(1))$. Hence our claim is true for U_1 and U_1'. Suppose that, for $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, each entry of U_i' is obtained from the corresponding entry of U_i by derivation at 1. If $w_p = z_k$ for some $k < n$ then

$$U_p = (1,1), \quad U_p' = (0,0).$$

If $w_p = y_k$ for some $k < n$ then

$$U_p = (q_k^{-1}, \lambda_{kn}), \quad U_p' = (-\widetilde{q}_k'(1), \widetilde{\lambda}_{kn}'(1)).$$

If $w_p = x_k$ for some $k < n$ then

$$U_p = (q_k, \lambda_{kn}^{-1}), \quad U_p' = (\widetilde{q}_k'(1), -\widetilde{\lambda}_{kn}'(1)).$$

Hence each (i,j)-entry of U' is obtained from the (i,j)-entry of U by derivation at $t = 1$.

If $T = W \cup \{z_n\}$ then $Y_T = Y_W \cup \{y_n\} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_p, y_n\}$. In A_q, the commutation relations of each pair of elements in Y_T are determined by the multiplicative anti-symmetric matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta & U \\ U^* & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where U is the $p \times 1$-matrix determined by the commutation relations between $\{w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ and each $(1,\ell)$-entry of U^* is the inverse of the $(\ell,1)$-entry of U. In A_1, the Poisson brackets of each pair of elements in Y_T are determined by the skew symmetric matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta' & U' \\ -(U')^t & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where U' is the $p \times 1$-matrix determined by the Poisson brackets between $\{w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ and $-(U')^t$ is the transpose of U'. Let c_ℓ and d_ℓ be $(\ell,1)$-entries of U and U', respectively. If $w_\ell = z_k$ for some $k < n$ then $c_\ell = 1$, $d_\ell = 0$. If $w_\ell = y_k$ for some $k < n$ then $c_\ell = \lambda_{kn}$, $d_\ell = \widetilde{\lambda}_{kn}'(1)$. If $w_\ell = x_k$ for some $k < n$ then $c_\ell = \lambda_{kn}^{-1}$, $d_\ell = -\widetilde{\lambda}_{kn}'(1)$. Hence our claim is true.

If $T = W \cup \{z_n, y_n\}$ then $Y_T = Y_W \cup \{x_n\} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_p, x_n\}$. In A_q, the commutation relations of each pair of elements in Y_T are determined by the multiplicative anti-symmetric matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta & U \\ U^* & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where U is the $p \times 1$-matrix determined by the commutation relations between $\{w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ and each $(1,\ell)$-entry of U^* is the inverse of the $(\ell,1)$-entry of U. In A_1, the Poisson brackets of each pair of elements in Y_T are determined by the skew symmetric matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta' & U' \\ -(U')^t & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where U' is $p \times 1$-matrix determined by the Poisson brackets between $\{w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ and $-(U')^t$ is the transpose of U'. Let c_ℓ and d_ℓ be $(\ell,1)$-entries of U and U', respectively. If $w_\ell = z_k$ for some $k < n$ then $c_\ell = 1$, $d_\ell = 0$. If $w_\ell = y_k$ for some $k < n$ then $c_\ell = q_k^{-1}\lambda_{kn}^{-1}$, $d_\ell = -(\widetilde{q}_k'(1) + \widetilde{\lambda}_{kn}'(1))$. If $w_\ell = x_k$ for some $k < n$ then $c_\ell = q_k\lambda_{kn}$, $d_\ell = \widetilde{q}_k'(1) + \widetilde{\lambda}_{kn}'(1)$.

If $T = W \cup \{z_n, x_n\}$ then $Y_T = Y_W \cup \{y_n\} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_p, y_n\}$. This case was proved in the case $T = W \cup \{z_n\}$.

If $T = W \cup \{z_n, y_n, x_n\}$ then $Y_T = Y_W$ and thus there is nothing to prove. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.20. *Retain the notation of Lemma 2.19. Let*

$$c_{\ell i} = \eta_1^{v^1_{\ell i}} \cdots \eta_r^{v^r_{\ell i}} = e_1(q)^{v^1_{\ell i}} \cdots e_r(q)^{v^r_{\ell i}}$$

for all $1 \le \ell, i \le s$.

(1) The center $Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ *is the* $\mathbb{C}$*-subalgebra generated by monomials* $w_1^{u_1} \cdots w_s^{u_s}$ *such that* $u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s} = 0$ *for all* $1 \le i \le r$. *In particular,* $Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ *is independent to* q.

(2) The Poisson center $ZP((A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ *is the* $\mathbb{C}$*-subalgebra generated by monomials* $w_1^{u_1} \cdots w_s^{u_s}$ *such that* $u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s} = 0$ *for all* $1 \le i \le r$.

Proof. For $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, set $w^u = w_1^{u_1} \cdots w_s^{u_s}$.

(1) For $f = \sum_u \alpha_u w^u \in (A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$, $f \in Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ if and only if $w_\ell f w_\ell^{-1} = f$ for all ℓ if and only if $w^u \in Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ for all u such that $\alpha_u \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
w^u \in Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]) &\Leftrightarrow w_\ell w^u w_\ell^{-1} = w^u && \text{for all } \ell = 1, \ldots, s \\
&\Leftrightarrow c_{\ell 1}^{u_1} \cdots c_{\ell s}^{u_s} = 1 && \text{for all } \ell = 1, \ldots, s \\
&\Leftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{r} \eta_i^{u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s}} = 1 && \text{for all } \ell = 1, \ldots, s \\
&\Leftrightarrow u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s} = 0 && \text{for all } \ell \text{ and } i.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra spanned by all monomials w^u such that

$$u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s} = 0$$

for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, s$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$. That is,

$$Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]) = \left\{ \sum_u \mathbb{C} w^u | u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s} = 0 \ \text{ for all } 1 \le \ell \le s,\, 1 \le i \le r \right\}.$$

In particular, $Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ is independent to q.

(2) Note, by (2.2), that

$$\widetilde{c}'_{\ell i}(1) = v^1_{\ell i}\mu_1 + \ldots + v^r_{\ell i}\mu_r.$$

For $f = \sum_u \alpha_u w^u \in (A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$, $f \in ZP((A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ if and only if $\{w_\ell, f\} w_\ell^{-1} = 0$ for all ℓ if and only if $w^u \in ZP((A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$ for all u such that $\alpha_u \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
w^u \in ZP((A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]) &\Leftrightarrow \{w_\ell, w^u\} = 0 && \text{for all } \ell = 1, \ldots, s \\
&\Leftrightarrow u_1 \widetilde{c}'_{\ell 1}(1) + \ldots + u_s \widetilde{c}'_{\ell s}(1) = 0 && \text{for all } \ell = 1, \ldots, s \\
&\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{r} (u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s})\mu_i = 0 && \text{for all } \ell = 1, \ldots, s \\
&\Leftrightarrow u_1 v^i_{\ell 1} + \ldots + u_s v^i_{\ell s} = 0 && \text{for all } \ell \text{ and } i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$ZP((A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]) = \left\{ \sum_u \mathbb{C}w^u | u_1 v_{\ell 1}^i + \ldots + u_s v_{\ell s}^i = 0 \ \text{ for all } 1 \le \ell \le s,\, 1 \le i \le r \right\}.$$

□

Lemma 2.21. *Retain the notation of Lemma 2.19. Let D be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \ldots, w_s]$ of Lemma 2.19(1) and let E be the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \ldots, w_s]$ of Lemma 2.19(2). Note that $Z(D[w_1^{-1}, \ldots, w_s^{-1}]) = ZP(E[w_1^{-1}, \ldots, w_s^{-1}])$ by Lemma 2.20. Set*

$$Z = Z(D[w_1^{-1}, \ldots, w_s^{-1}]) = ZP(E[w_1^{-1}, \ldots, w_s^{-1}])$$

and $w^u = w_1^{u_1} \cdots w_s^{u_s}$ for $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. For any $f = \sum_u \alpha_u w^u \in Z$ and $v \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^s$, $w^v f \in D$ if and only if $w^v f \in E$.

Proof. In D, $w^v f = f w^v$ since $f \in Z$. Hence $w^v f \in D$ if and only if $v + u \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^s$ for all u such that $\alpha_u \neq 0$ if and only if $w^v f \in E$. □

We recall [10, Theorem 2.4 and preceding comment]: A Poisson $\mathbb{C}$-algebra B is said to satisfy the *Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence* if the following conditions are equivalent: For a Poisson prime ideal P of B,

(i) P is Poisson primitive.
(ii) P is rational (i.e., the Poisson center of the quotient field of B/P is equal to $\mathbb{C}$).
(iii) P is locally closed (i.e., the intersection of all Poisson prime ideals properly containing P is strictly larger than P).

Theorem 2.22. *The multi-parameter Poisson Weyl algebra A_1 satisfies the Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence.*

Proof. Since A_1 is finitely generated, it is enough to show that every rational Poisson prime ideal is locally closed by [10, Proposition 1.10 and (ii)⇒(i) of the proof of Theorem 2.4]. Let P be a rational Poisson prime ideal of A_1 and let $P \cap \mathcal{M}_n = T$. Then T is an admissible set by Lemma 2.14. Since the canonical image P' of P to $(A_1/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]$ is rational, P' is locally closed by Lemma 2.19(2) and [10, Theorem 2.4]. It follows that P is locally closed since admissible sets are finite. □

Theorem 2.23. *Let $G(Q, \Lambda)$ be a torsion free group. Then there exists a homeomorphism from* $\operatorname{Spec} R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ *onto* $\operatorname{P.Spec} A_1$ *such that its restriction to* $\operatorname{Prim} R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ *is also a homeomorphism onto* $\operatorname{P.Prim} A_1$.

Proof. It is enough to show the result for A_q instead of $R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ by Lemma 2.8. Let T be an admissible set and set $Y_T = \{w_1, \ldots, w_s\}$. Denote by ι the canonical epimorphism from A_q onto $A_q/\langle T\rangle$ or the canonical epimorphism from A_1 onto $A_1/\langle T\rangle$. For any $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we set $w^u = w_1^{u_1} \cdots w_s^{u_s}$ and $\iota(w^u) = \iota(w_1)^{u_1} \cdots \iota(w_s)^{u_s}$. We may set

$$Z = Z((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}]) = ZP((A_q/\langle T\rangle)[\mathcal{Y}_T^{-1}])$$

by Lemma 2.20. For any prime ideal P' of Z, set

(2.10)

$$J_{P'} = \left\{ w^v \Big(\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^s, \alpha_u \neq 0} \alpha_u w^u \Big) \Big| \sum \alpha_u \iota(w^u) \in P', v \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^s \text{ such that } v + u \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^s \ \forall u \right\}.$$

Note that $\iota(J_{P'})$ is the contraction of P' to $A_q/\langle T\rangle$ and $A_1/\langle T\rangle$ by Lemma 2.21 and that the ideal generated by $J_{P'} \cup T$ is a prime ideal of A_q and a Poisson prime ideal of A_1 by [6, 1.5] and [10, Corollary 2.3].

Let $P \in \operatorname{Spec}_T A_q$. Then there exists a unique prime ideal P' of Z such that $\iota(P)$ is generated by the contraction of P' to $A_q/\langle T\rangle$ [6, 1.5] and thus P is generated by $J_{P'} \cup T$. Similarly, if $P \in \operatorname{Spec}_T A_1$ then there exists a unique prime ideal P' of Z such that $\iota(P)$ is generated by the contraction of P' to $A_1/\langle T\rangle$ [10, Corollary 2.3] and thus P is generated by $J_{P'} \cup T$.

Let $\widehat{\ } : A_q \longrightarrow \widehat{A}$ be the map defined by $f(q) \mapsto \widehat{f(q)} = (f(\lambda))_{\lambda\in\mathbf{K}}$. Since all α_u in (2.10) are independent to q by Lemma 2.20(1), $\pi_\lambda(\widehat{x}) = x$ and $\Gamma(\widehat{x}) = x$ for $x \in J_{P'} \cup T$. Denote by X the ideals of $\widehat{A}$ generated by $\widehat{J_{P'} \cup T}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}_T A_q$ and admissible set T. Then the map $\widehat{\ } : A_q \longrightarrow \widehat{A}$ induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ from $\operatorname{Spec} A_q$ onto X and

$$\Gamma(\widehat{J_{P'} \cup T}) = J_{P'} \cup T.$$

Hence the map φ_Γ given in (1.4) is a homeomorphism from X onto $\mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Spec} A_1$ by Corollary 1.5. It follows that $\operatorname{Spec} A_q$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Spec} A_1$ by the composition $\varphi_\Gamma \circ \widehat{\varphi}$. The restriction of this homeomorphism to the primitive spectrum $\operatorname{Prim} A_q$ is also a homeomorphism onto the Poisson primitive spectrum $\mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Prim} A_1$ by [5, 9.4], [7, 5.6] and Theorem 2.22. □

Let $\mathcal{O}(V)$ be the coordinate ring of a Poisson affine variety V. The sets $\{p \in V | \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{m}_p) = P\}$ for $P \in \mathrm{P}.\operatorname{Prim}\mathcal{O}(V)$ are called the *symplectic cores* in V, where $\mathfrak{m}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(V) | f(p) = 0\}$. Refer to [5, §6] for symplectic cores.

Corollary 2.24. *Let $G(Q, \Lambda)$ be a torsion free group. Then $\operatorname{Prim} R_n^{Q,\Lambda}$ is homeomorphic to the space of symplectic cores in the affine variety $\mathbb{C}^n$, where the Poisson bracket in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is given by A_1.*

Proof. It follows immediately by Theorem 2.22, Theorem 2.23 and [5, Lemma 9.3]. □

References

1. M. Akhavizadegan and David A. Jordan, *Prime ideals of quantized Weyl algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **38** (1996), 283–297.
2. K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl, *Lectures on algebraic quantum groups*, Advanced courses in mathematics-CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel·Boston·Berlin, 2002.
3. J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras*, The 1996 printing of the 1977 English translation Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 11, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.
4. K. R. Goodearl, *A Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence for Poisson algebras with torus actions*, in Algebra and Its Applications (D. V. Huynh, S. K. Jain, and S. R. Lopez-Permouth, Eds.) Contemp. Math. **419** (2006), 131–154.
5. ———, *Semiclassical limits of quantized coordinate rings*, in Advances in Ring Theory (D. V. Huynh and S. R. Lopez-Permouth, Eds.) Basel Birkhäuser (2009), 165–204.
6. K. R. Goodearl and E. S. Letzter, *Prime and primitive spectra of multiparameter quantum affine spaces*, in Trends in Ring Theory Proc. Miskolc. Conf. 1996 (V. Dlab and L. Márki, eds.), Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. Series (1998), 39–58.
7. ———, *The Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence in quantum coordinate rings and quantized Weyl algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 1381–1403.
8. David A. Jordan and Sei-Qwon Oh, *Poisson brackets and Poisson spectra in polynomial algebras*, New Trends in Noncommutative Algebra, Contemp. Math. **562** (2012), 169–187.
9. G. Maltsiniotis, *Groupes quantique et structures différentielles*, C.R. Acad. Sci.Paris Ser. I Mat. **311** (1990), 831–834.

10. Sei-Qwon Oh, *Symplectic ideals of Poisson algebras and the Poisson structure associated to quantum matrices*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), 2163–2180.
11. ———, *Quantum and Poisson structures of multi-parameter symplectic and Euclidean spaces*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 4485–4535.
12. Sei-Qwon Oh, Chun-Gil Park, and Yong-Yeon Shin, *Quantum n-space and Poisson n-space*, Comm. Algebra **30(9)** (2002), 4197–4209.
13. Sei-Qwon Oh and Mi-Yeon Park, *Relationship between quantum and Poisson structures of odd dimensional Euclidean spaces*, Comm. Algebra **38(9)** (2010), 3333–3346.

Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, 99 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34134, Korea

E-mail address: sqoh@cnu.ac.kr